Assembly Hearing Slip (Please print plainly) 3-53-45 Rup. AI OH ABS 8 BIII No. – Or Subject Date: Assembly Hearing Slip Date: 23 March 95-NA MOWARD (Please print plainly) (Street Address or Route Number) MAD150N BIII No. AB 18 DATCA Speaking in favor: (City & Zip Code) (Representing) Or Subject __

Please return this slip to a messenger promptly.

Assembly Sergeant at Arms Room 210 West State Capitol Madison, WI 53702

Speaking for *Information only*; Neither for nor against:

Registering In favor:

Speaking against:

Registering against:

Assembly Committee on Agriculture

DATE_	March 23, 1995				
Moved	1 by <u>O</u> +	- Seconded b	v O	Isen	
AB SB		_ Seconded by <u>Olsen</u> Clearinghouse Rule			
SJR		Appointment			
A SR		Other			
	mdt to A/C A				
A/S S	mdtto A/S Amd	. t	-		
	mdt to A/S Sub	Amdt			
A/S A	mdt to A/S Amd	t	_ to A	./S Sub Amd	t
Pa:	commended for: ssage troduction option jection	☐ Indefini ☐ Tabling ☐ Concurre ☐ Nonconcu	nce		
	Committee Member	Aye	No	Absent	Not Voting
1.	Ott, Alvin (Chair)	X			9
2.	Ward, David (Vice-Chair)	X			
3.	Ainsworth, John	X			
4.	Zukowski, Robert	X			
5.	Otte, Clifford	X			
б.	Skindrud, Richard	X	1.6		
7.	Hahn, Eugene	X			
8.	Olsen, Luther	X			
9.	Gronemus, Barbara	X			
10.	Baldus, Al	X			
11.	Reynolds, Martin	X			
12.	Springer, Thomas	X			
13.	Wilder, Michael	X			
14.	Dueholm, Robert				
15.					
16.					
17.					
18.					

MOTION FAILED

MOTION CARRIED X s:\comclerk\rollcall.1



E. JAMES FITZGERALD DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MANITOWOC COUNTY
MANITOWOC, WISCONSIN 54220-5398

COURT HOUSE

TELEPHONE 683-4070

March 22, 1995

Representative Al Ott Wisconsin State Assembly State Capitol Madison, WI

Re: LRB-1923/1, Relating to Investigation of Animal Cruelty Complaints.

Dear Representative Ott:

I have had the opportunity to review the above captioned bill and have a few comments in favor of its passage. My opinion on the changes is based upon experience.

Approximately one and a half years ago a non-county Wisconsin resident had concerns that animals were being improperly cared for at a location in Manitowoc County. This individual applied for and was granted a search warrant by a Manitowoc Circuit Judge for portions of, but not all of, the property which the applicant This warrant was applied for under the wanted to search. provisions of Chapter 951. Apparently, although a warrant had been granted by a Manitowoc Judge, the applicant applied for and received another more extensive search warrant from a Milwaukee County judge who she personally was acquainted with. This latter warrant was drafted so broadly that it was on its face unconstitutional and would have allowed the applicant, not law enforcement, to ultimately take possession of the animals and remove them from the State of Wisconsin. Of course, all expenses incurred would have had to be born by the taxpayers of Manitowoc County. Law enforcement had no input into the application for either warrant and having executed the first one (Manitowoc's) was ordered by the Milwaukee Judge to execute the second one within 24 hours of the first execution. At that point local law enforcement expressed concerns about violations of civil rights.

ch.968.12

I believe that the bill will assist law enforcement in that it will bring Chapter 951 into closer harmony with standard criminal investigation procedures as outline in Ch. 312. It will also assist in having the public perceive enforcement of Ch. 951 actions in a more positive light, that is, that although the crime may be against an animal it is a crime and should be prosecuted the same way and with the same vigor as crimes against humans.

Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to express my support of this bill.

Sincerely,

E. James FitzGerald

District Attorney